RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 1 3 2004

1 3 ZUU4 PTD/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0851-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.	Application Number	normation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
/		09/774,988
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	01/31/2001
FORM	First Named Inventor	Zebian
(to be used for all correspondence after initial fi	ing) Art Unit	2154
·	Examiner Name	Patel
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	19 Attorney Docket Number	U000-P02026US
	ENCLOSURES (Check all the	
Fee Transmittel Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidevits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Add Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(e)	After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Encicsure(s) (please identify below): Credit Card Form
		NEV OR ACENT
	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTOR	NET, OR AGENT
Firm or SoCal IP Law Group or Individual name	Λ	
Date December 13, 2004		
	RTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSIC	N/MAILING
I hereby certify that this correspondence is be sufficient postage as first class mall in an en- the date shown below.	- 6 - Inite transmitted to the USPTO	or deposited with the United States Postal Service with Patente, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
Typed or printed name Joel G. Lan	tau	
		Date December 13, 2004

This collection of information is required by SP CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the smount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and smount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

6029

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 1 3 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

09/774,968

Confirmation No.

Applicant

Zebian

Filed

01/31/2001

TC/A.U.

2154

Examiner

Patel

Docket No.

U000-P02026US

Customer No.

33356

Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

Dear Sir:

The following Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to the Notice of Appeal dated October 11, 2004. The following Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 for consideration by the Board of Appeals and Interferences.

12/14/2004 BBUNNER 00000019 09774968

01 FC:1402

500.00 OP

(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is NetZero, Inc.

(2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no applications currently being appealed that may directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal

(3) STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-40 were pending and rejected in the Final Office Action dated 09/08/2004. Claims 26-29 and 33-40 were cancelled via an amendment dated 12/10/2004. Claims 1-25 and 30-32 are pending and are the subject of this appeal.

(4) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

An amendment canceling claims 26-29 and 33-40 was filed on 12/10/2004.

(5) SUMMARY OF INVENTION

A method for maximizing qualities of a user network access number (NAN) list (p. 16, lines 3-4), the user NAN list comprising plural NANs (p. 17, lines 11-12), the NANs for use by a user's client device (p. 11, lines 5-6) in connecting to a data network under control of a server system (p. 10, lines 15-16), the method comprising: storing in the server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list (p. 11, lines 13-17); storing in the server system connection information about connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network (p. 16, lines 7-10); connecting the client device to the server system (p. 17, lines 3-4); setting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the available NAN list (p. 17, lines 7-8); setting an

order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the connection information (p. 17, lines 15-17), wherein the order is set outside of the user's control (p. 17, lines 17-18); disconnecting the client device from the server system (p. 19, 11, 40-42).

A method of setting an order for using network access numbers (NANs) in a user NAN list (p. 17, lines 15-17), the user NAN list comprising plural NANs (p. 17, lines 11-12), the NANs for use by the user's client device (p. 11, lines 5-6) in connecting to a data network under control of an online service provider server system (p. 10, lines 15-16), wherein a connection from the client device to the data network comprises a front end portion and a back end portion (p. 10, lines 11-14), the front end portion comprising a first connection from the client device to a public switch (p. 14, lines 5-6), and the back end portion comprising a second connection from the public switch to a point of presence under control of one of plural back end providers plus a third connection from the point of presence to the data network (p. 14, lines 16-21, p. 15, lines 1-6), wherein authorization for the back end provider to establish the back end portion is by the online service provider server system (p. 15, lines 6-9), and each NAN is associated with one of the back end providers (p. 14, lines 19-21), the method comprising: storing in the online service provider server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the user's client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list (p. 11, lines 13-17); storing in the online service provider server system connection information for connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network (p. 16, lines 7-10), the connection information comprising at least one of (a) quality of connection information for the back end portion and (b) costs information for the back end portion (p. 15, lines 17-19); establishing a connection from the client device to the online service provider server system (p. 17, lines 3-4); transmitting an identification of the NANs in the user NAN list from the client device to the online service provider server system (p. 20, line 21 p. 21, line 2); setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon at least one of (a) the stored quality of connection information for the back end portion and (b) costs information for the back end portion (p. 19, lines 12-13).

(6) GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by West et al. (USP 6,081,508).

Claims 12-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from West et al. (USP 6,081,508) in view of Dieterman et al. (US Pat. Pub. No. US 2002/0013896).

(7) ARGUMENT

A. Rejection of Claims 1-11 as Unpatentable over West

To anticipate a claim, the reference must teach each and every element of the claim. MPEP § 2131 provides:

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. [...] The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim.

Claim 1 is independent. Claims 2-11 ultimately depend on claim 1. Claim 1 includes at least four limitations not disclosed, taught or suggested by West. Claim 1 recites, "storing in the server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the client device to connect to the data network." The Examiner asserted that West teaches the claimed feature at Fig. 1 element 100 and Fig. 4 element 340. The rejection provides no further argument or explanation. West shows that element 100 is a remote computer and element 340 is a LAN (Figs. 1 and 4). A remote computer and a LAN are apparatuses, devices, and / or structures. As such, they can not teach a step of a method. That is, the cited apparatuses do not teach the claimed storing step. Because the cited portion of West does not teach the claimed feature, the Examiner has not provided the required showing that West teaches what is recited in claim 1. As such, the rejection should be reversed.

Claim 1 further recites, "wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list." The Examiner asserted that West teaches the claimed feature at 3:35-45 and Fig. 4 element 334. West, at 3:35-45 and Fig. 4 element 334, describes a management server which stores a dialing database and provides telephone access numbers from the dialing database to a remote computer. The cited portion of West does not teach a user NAN list that is a <u>subset</u> of the available NAN List. Because the cited portion of West does not teach the claimed feature, the rejection should be reversed.

Claim 1 further recites, "storing in the server system connection information about connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network." The Examiner asserted that West teaches the claimed feature at Fig. 1 element 140, Fig. 4 element 334, 3:35-45, and 3:5-7. West's Fig. 1 element 140 is a corporate communication system. The corporate communication system is made up of a local area network, communication related computers and routing devices coupled to the network. West's Fig. 4 element 334 is a management server. West, at 3:35-45, describes a management server which stores a dialing database and provides telephone access numbers from the dialing database to a remote computer. West, at 3:5-7, describes the remote computer accessing the dialing database to determine the set of access paths for communicating with the computing resource. A corporate communication system and a list of telephone access numbers stored in a management server do not teach the feature "storing in the server system connection information about connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network." The recited "connection information" includes the cost of the back end connection as well as the quality of the back end connection. Storing a list of telephone access numbers is not the same or analogous to storing cost and quality information about each NAN in an available NAN list. Because the cited portion of West does not teach the claimed feature, the Examiner has not provided the required showing that West teaches what is recited in claim 1. As such, the rejection should be reversed.

Claim 1 further recites, "setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the connection information, wherein the order is set outside of the user's control." The Examiner asserted that West, at 2:58-63, teaches this feature. West, at 2:58-63, describes

determining a set of access paths according to telephone charges associated with the location of the remote computer. The recited "connection information" includes the cost of the back end connection as well as the quality of the back end connection. Determining a set of access paths does not teach or suggest "setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the connection information, wherein the order is set outside of the user's control." Because the cited portion of West does not teach the claimed feature, the Examiner has not met the required showing that West teaches what is recited in claim 1. As such, the rejection should be reversed.

By virtue of their dependency on claim 1, claims 2-11 are patentable over West. As such, the anticipation rejection of the claims 2-11 should be reversed.

B. Rejection of Claims 12-25 and 30-32 as Unpatentable over West in view of Dieterman

"To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, [...] the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all of the claim limitations." MPEP 706.02(j).

Claims 12 and 30 are independent. Claims 13-25 and 31-32 respectively depend on claims 12 and 30. Claim 12 includes at least three limitations not disclosed, taught or suggested by West or Dieterman.

Claim 12 recites, among other features, "storing in the online service provider server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the user's client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list." The Examiner asserted that West teaches these features. These features are also recited in claim 1. For the same reasons as set forth in the arguments for claim 1, these features distinguish claim 12 over West. Dieterman fails to cure this deficiency. As such, the combination of cited art fails to teach or suggest the features claimed. Therefore, the rejection should be reversed.

Claim 12 further recites, "transmitting an identification of the NANs in the user NAN list from the client device to the online service provider server system." The Examiner asserted that this feature is taught at Figs. 2a-2c. No further argument or explanation was provided. West's Figs. 2a-2c illustrate interactive dialog boxes that allow the user to enter a username, password, and information as to where the user is dialing from. Interactive dialog boxes are unrelated to the claimed feature, "transmitting an identification of the NANs in the user NAN list from the client device to the online service provider server system." The user information gathered by the dialog boxes neither teaches nor suggests anything related or similar to a NAN list. Dieterman fails to cure this deficiency. As such, the combination of cited art fails to teach or suggest the features claimed. Therefore, the rejection should be reversed.

To the extent that claim 30 includes similar limitations to claim 12, claim 30 is patentable over West in view of Dieterman for the same reasons that claim 12 is patentable. By virtue of their respective dependency on claims 12 and 30, claims 13-25 and 31-32 are patentable over West in view of Dieterman. As such, the obviousness rejection of the claims 13-25 and 30-32 should be reversed.

CONCLUSION AND RELIEF

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. The undersigned requests that the Board overturn the rejection of all claims and hold that all of the claims of the above referenced application are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 13, 2004

SoCal IP Law Group 310 N. Westlake Blvd., Suite 120 Westlake Village, CA 91362 Telephone: 805/230-1350

Facsimile: 805/230-1355 email: info@socalip.com

(8) CLAIMS APPENDIX

The claims involved in this Appeal are as follows:

1. A method for maximizing qualities of a user network access number (NAN) list, the user NAN list comprising plural NANs, the NANs for use by a user's client device in connecting to a data network under control of a server system, the method comprising

storing in the server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list

storing in the server system connection information about connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network

connecting the client device to the server system

setting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the available NAN list

setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the connection information, wherein the order is set outside of the user's control

disconnecting the client device from the server system.

- 2. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein the order setting step comprises associating ranking information with at least one NAN in the user NAN list.
- 3. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein the order setting step comprises specifying an actual sequential order of the NANs in the user NAN list.

- 4. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein the order setting step comprises providing a sequence list which identifies the order for using the NANs in the user NAN list.
- 5. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein the order setting step comprises providing the client device with connection information for the NANs in the user NAN list and an algorithm for selecting the NANs based upon the provided connection information.
- 6. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein

the NANs are for providing the client device with a connection to the data network through plural back end networks

each NAN is associated with a one of plural back end providers

each back end network is associated with one of the back end providers

the connection information includes a cost from the back end provider for the client device to utilize the back end network of the back end provider

the back end providers permit a connection through their back end networks to the client device under the authorization of the server system.

7. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 1 wherein the connection information includes location information for the NANs in the available NAN list, the method further comprising

determining a location of the client device

determining an available local NAN list based upon the location of the client device and the location information for the NANs in the available NAN list

comparing the available local NAN list with the user NAN list to identify a good NAN in the available local NAN list which is not in the user NAN list

adding the good NAN to the user NAN list.

- 8. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 7, wherein the location information for the NANs in the available NAN list comprises an area code.
- 9. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 7, wherein before adding the good NAN to the user NAN list, asking the user for permission to add the good NAN to the user NAN list.
- 10. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 7, further comprising

comparing the available local NAN list with the user NAN list to identify a bad NAN in the user NAN list which is not in the available local NAN list

deleting the bad NAN from the user NAN list.

- 11. The method for maximizing qualities of a user NAN list of claim 10, wherein before deleting the bad NAN from the user NAN list, asking the user for permission to delete the bad NAN from the user NAN list.
- 12. A method of setting an order for using network access numbers (NANs) in a user NAN list, the user NAN list comprising plural NANs, the NANs for use by the user's client device in connecting to a data network under control of an online service provider server system, wherein a connection from the client device to the data network comprises a front end portion and a back end portion, the front end portion comprising a first connection from the client device to a public switch, and the back end portion comprising a second connection from the public switch to a point of presence under control

of one of plural back end providers plus a third connection from the point of presence to the data network, wherein authorization for the back end provider to establish the back end portion is by the online service provider server system, and each NAN is associated with one of the back end providers, the method comprising

storing in the online service provider server system an available NAN list of NANs available for the user's client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list

storing in the online service provider server system connection information for connecting from the NANs in the available NAN list to the data network, the connection information comprising at least one of (a) quality of connection information for the back end portion and (b) costs information for the back end portion

establishing a connection from the client device to the online service provider server system

transmitting an identification of the NANs in the user NAN list from the client device to the online service provider server system

setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon at least one of (a) the stored quality of connection information for the back end portion and (b) costs information for the back end portion.

- 13. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein there is a version code associated with the user NAN list for identifying a current version of the user NAN list, and the transmitting step comprises transmitting the version code from the client device to the online service provider server system.
- 14. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the transmitting step comprises transmitting the user NAN list from the client device to the 12/16

online service provider server system.

- 15. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the step of ordering is performed by the client device.
- 16. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the step of ordering is performed by the online service provider server system.
- 17. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12, the order setting step comprising setting the order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon both the stored quality of connection information and the stored costs information.
- 18. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 17, wherein the connection information includes an identification of a back end provider.
- 19. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the available NAN list identifies a central office which serves each NAN, and the cost information for each NAN includes a cost of providing a connection from the respective central office to the data network.
- 20. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12, wherein the stored quality of connection information comprises reliability data derived from historical quality-of-connection statistics associated with the NANs.
- 21. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 20, further including the client device providing the online service provider server system with quality of connection information, and the online service provider server system incorporating the quality of

connection information from the client device into the historical quality-of-connection statistics.

22. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the order setting step comprises associating ranking information with at least one NAN in the user NAN list.

23. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the order setting step comprises specifying an actual sequential order of the NANs in the user NAN list.

24. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the order setting step comprises providing a sequence list which identifies the order for using the NANs in the user NAN list.

25. The method of ordering a user's network access number NAN list as set forth in claim 12 wherein the order setting step comprises providing the client device with connection information for the NANs in the user NAN list and an algorithm for selecting the NANs based upon the provided connection information.

30. An online service provider server system for controlling a connection between a user's client device and a data network, wherein the user's client device attempts connection to the data network using network access numbers in a user network access number (NAN) list comprising plural NANs, the online service provider server system comprising

a first memory storing an available NAN list of NANs available for the client device to connect to the data network, wherein the user NAN list comprises a subset of the available NAN list

a second memory storing connection information about connecting from the NANs in the

available NAN list to the data network

computer program code which when executed causes the online service provider server system to perform operations including

connecting to the user's client device

setting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the available NAN list

setting an order for selecting the NANs in the user NAN list based upon the connection information, wherein the order is set outside of the user's control

disconnecting from the client device.

- 31. The online service provider server system for controlling a connection between a user's client device and a data network of claim 30 wherein the online service provider server system associates ranking information with at least one NAN in the user NAN list.
- 32. The online service provider server system for controlling a connection between a user's client device and a data network of claim 30 wherein

the NANs are for providing the client device with a connection to the data network through plural back end networks

each NAN is associated with a one of plural back end providers

each back end network is associated with one of the back end providers

the connection information includes a cost from the back end provider for the client device to utilize the back end network of the back end provider

the back end providers permit a connection through their back end networks to the 15/16

client device under the authorization of the server system.

FEE TRANSMITTAL Application Number Complete Micrown Art Unit 2154 Attorney Docket No. U000-P02026US	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are require	ed to n	espo <u>nd k</u>	U.S. Pate o a collect	ent end ton of	i Tandamadı 🔿 🏵	ica IIQ DEF	07/31/2006. OM	COMMERCE		
Filtry Date 01/31/2001 Filtry Date 01/31/2001 First Named Inventor Zobian		_				Complete if	Known				
Applicant claims small entity status, See 37 CFR 1.27 At Unit 2154 Atomey Docket No. U000-P02028US	FEE IRANSMILIA	Application Number 09/77				09/774,9	,968				
Applicant claims email entity status. See 37 CPR 1.27 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Check Oradi card Money Other None Crider Other Other None Crider Other Other None Crider Other			Filing Date			01/31/20	01/31/2001				
Applicant clalms small entity status. See 37 CPR 1.27 Art Until 2154 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500 Art Until 2154			First Named Inventor Z				Zebian				
NETHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) SEE CALCULATION (continued)	Effective 10/01/2004. Petent fees are subject to annual revision.		Examiner Name			Patel	Patel				
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) FEE CALCULATION (continued)	Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Art Ur	nit		2154	2154				
Check Crudit care Money Other None Other	TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500		Attorn	ey Dock	et No	U000-P0	U000-P02026US				
Deposit Account: Solidar Policy Solidar Policy Solidar Policy Polic	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)		FEE CALCULATION (continued)								
Deposit Account: Deposit Account: Deposit Account: Deposit Account: SoCal IP Law Group The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below. Check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Execution of tee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Execution of tee(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below. Execution of the filing fee 1805 1,840* 1805 1,8						5					
Deposit Account Number Deposit Account SoCal IP Law Group SoCal IP Law Group The Director is authorized to: (chock air that apply) Charge fee(s) inclinated below Charge fee(s) inclinated below, except for the filting fee To the above-identified deposit account. 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Code (8) Doda (8) The Director in Charge fee(s) inclinated below, except for the filting fee 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Peach Description Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Peld 1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity Fee		-				r B			:		
Number Deposit Account SoCal IP Law Group The Director is authorized to: (check air that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below watcept for the filing fee to the above-dénnified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1525 1585 1584 1	Deposit Fourth			Code (S	5)		•	1	Fee Paid		
Account Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge feels) indicated below County (Chedit any overpayments) Charge feels) indicated below. Execution (Chedit any overpayments) Charge feels) indicated below. Execution (Chedit any overpayments) EXECUTION 1504 920 1805 130 1053 130 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination 1804 920 1805 1,840 1805	Number		1								
The Director is authorized to: (check at thet apply) Charge fee(e) indicated below Charge fee(e) indicated below Charge fee(e) indicated below Charge fee(e) indicated below, except for the filting fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1251 110 1252 130 1812 2,52		1052	2 50	2052	25 S	ovet speat Micuelde - Isra i	hichisionai mi	IIID les oi			
Charge fee(e) indicated below worth fee(s) with the fee of the diling fee to the above-identified deposit account. Charge fee(e) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION								o roovamination			
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-defanified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION											
To the above-4-dentified deposit account. FEE CALCULATION 1251 110 1252 430 2252 215 Extension for reply within first month 1253 980 2253 490 Extension for reply within first month 1254 150 2254 755 Extension for reply within first month 1255 240 2252 215 Extension for reply within first month 1256 240 2252 215 Extension for reply within first month 1257 170 2253 980 2253 490 Extension for reply within first month 1258 980 2253 490 Extension for reply within first month 1259 170 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 1250 2252 215 Extension for reply within first month 1250 2253 490 Extension for reply within first month 1251 170 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 1252 240 2252 215 Extension for reply within first month 1255 170 2251 55 Extension for reply within first month 1251 170 170 170 170 170 17	Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)	1804	4 920°		E	xaminer action			<u> </u>		
1	Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fea	180	5 1,840*	1805 1,	840° F	Requesting publication	ication of SIR	after			
1. BASIC FILING FEE Grape Entity Small Entity Fee Paid 1252 430 2252 215 Extension for reply within third month 1254 1,530 2254 755 Extension for reply within third month 1254 1,530 2254 755 Extension for reply within firth month 1254 1,530 2255 1,040 Extension for reply within firth month 1255 2,080 2255 1,040 Extension for reply within firth month 1255 2,080 2255 1,040 Extension for reply within firth month 1255 2,080 2255 1,040 Extension for reply within firth month 1255 2,080 2402 170 Filing a brisf in support of an appeal 1402 340 2402 170 Filing a brisf in support of an appeal 1403 300 2403 150 Request for oral hearing 1451 1,510		125	1 110	2251	55 E	Extension for rep	•				
Page		125	2 430	2252	215 E	Extension for rep	ply within sec	ond month			
1255 2.080 2255 1,040		125	3 980	2253							
1001 790 2001 395	Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	125	4 1,530								
1002 350 2002 175 Design filling fee 1401 340 2401 170 Notice of Appeal 1003 550 2003 275 Plant filling fee 1402 340 2402 170 Filling a brief in support of an appeal 1500 150 160 2005 80 Provisional filling fee 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1451 1,510 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1451 1,510 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1501 1,370 2453 665 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1501 1,370 2501 668 Utility issue fee (or reiseue) 1502 490 2502 245 Design Issue fee 1502 660 2503 330 Plant issue fee 1502 660 2503 330 Pla		125	5 2,080	2255 1	1,040	Extension for re	ply within fifth	month	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1003 550 2003 275 Plant filing fee 1402 340 2402 170 Illing a tiling supports an appeter 1407 340 2403 150 Request for oral hearing 1403 300 1405									500		
1004 796 2004 396 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 1452 110 1452 110 1453 1,370 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,370 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 1453 1,370 2453 1455 1,370 2453 1,370	1003 550 2003 275 Plant filing fee			1				aphea			
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1453 1,370 2453 685 Petition to revive - unintentional 1501 1,370 2501 685 Utility issue fee (or reiseue) Extra Claims below Fee Pald 1503 660 2503 330 Plant issue fee Independent 37 CFR 1.17(q) 1460 130 1480 130 Petitions to the Commissioner 1480 130 1480 130 Petitions to the Commissioner 1480 180 180 810 Submission of information Disclosure Strnt 1480 180 180 Submission of information Disclosure Strnt 1480 180 8021 40 8021 40 8021 40 property (times number of properties) 1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 3 1203 88 2201 44 Independent claims in excess of 3 1204 88 2204 44 "Reissue Independent claims over original patent 1205 16 2205 9 "Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1801 790 2801 395 Request for expedited examination 1801 790 2801 395 Request for expedited examination 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination 1803 00 1804 00 Request for expedited examination 1804 00 1805 00 Request for expedited examination 1805 180 1805 00 Request for expedited examination 1806 180 1806 180 Submission of information to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1807 790 2801 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1808 1807 790 2801 395 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1809 790 1802 900 Request for expedited examination 1800 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 7			_					se proceeding			
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Total Claims			•								
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from below Fae Pald below Fae Fae Pald below Fae Pald below Fae Pald below Fae Pald below Fae Fae Pald below Fae Pald below Fae Pald 1502 490 2502 245 Design Issue fee Independent Claims Multiple Dependent Independent Calm Independent Independent Calm Independent Indepen		ł			685	Petition to revive	e - unintentio	nai			
Total Claims -20** =	2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE		1 1,370	2501	685	Utility issue fee	(or reisaue)				
Independent	Extra Claims bulow Fee Paid	150	2 490			-	<u>-</u>				
Claims Multiple Dependent Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) 1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 1808 1	ledengedom			1		•					
Largo Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description 1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 88 2201 44 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 300 2203 150 Multiple dependent claims from paid 1204 88 2204 44 Relasue independent claims over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 1205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1205 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1205 1802 900 Request for expedited examination 1205 1802	Claims -3" - \ \			1							
Fee Fee Code (\$) 1202 18 2202 9 Cleims in excess of 20 1201 88 2201 44 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 300 2203 150 Multiple dependent claims of a second patent claims over original patent 1204 88 2204 44 ***Relissue independent claims over original patent 1205 18 2205 9 ***Relissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) ***or number previously paid, if greater: For Reissues, see above **Registration No. (Affirmat/Apanti) Name (Print/Type) Joel G. Landau (**Affirmat/Apanti) Recording each patent assignment per properties (and patent assignment per property (times number of properties) 1802 790 2809 395 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1809 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 790 2801 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 790 2801 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 790 2801 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801 790 2801 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 **Complete (# applicable)) **Complete (# applicable))		L									
1202 18 2202 9 Cleims in excess of 20 1201 88 2201 44 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 300 2203 150 Multiple dependent claims, if not peid 1204 88 2204 44 "Relissue independent claims over original patent or excess of 20 1205 18 2205 9 "Relissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent or excess of 20 and over original patent or excess of 20 1206 18 2205 9 "Relissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent or excess of 20 a	Fee Fee Fee Fee Description					Recording each	cording each patent essignment per				
1201 88	1	l l		1	70	property (times :	perty (times number of properties)				
1203 300 2203 150 Multiple dependent claims, if not peid 1810 790 2810 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1204 88 2204 44 "Release independent claims over original patent 1801 790 2801 395 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1205 18 2205 9 "Release claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination (RCE) 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 900 Request for expedited examination (RCE) 1802 900 Proprieta (RCE) 1802 900 Proprieta (RCE) 900	1,000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	180	19 790	2809		(37 CFR 1.129(a))				
1204 88 2204 44 "Relissue independent claims over original patent 1801 790 2801 395 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1205 18 2205 9 "Relissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) **Tor number previously paid, if greater: For Relissues, see above (Complete (if applicable)) **SUBMITTED BY Name (Print/Type) Joel G. Landau, (Affirman/Apanti) 1801 790 2801 395 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 **Complete (if applicable)) **Registration No. (Affirman/Apanti) 54,732 Telephone 805-230-1350	and the second s	181	10 7 9 0	2810	395	For each addition	onal invention	itobė			
1205 18 2205 9 ** Relasue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) **or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above SUBMITTED BY Name (Print/Type) Joel G. Landau, (4802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify) *Reduced by Besid Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 (Complete (# applicable)) Registration No. (480mm/Acanti) 54,732 Telephone 805-230-1350	1204 88 2204 44 ** Reissue independent claims	18	01 790	2801]		
and over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) **or number previously paid, if greater: For Reissues, see above Other fee (specify) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 (Complete (if applicable)) Name (Print/Type) Joel G. Landau (Afformat/Apanti) SUBMITTED BY Registration No. (Afformat/Apanti) (Afformat/Apanti) SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 (Complete (if applicable))	1205 18 2205 9 ** Relasue claims in excess of 20			1		Request for ex	coedited exam				
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 500 **ar number previously paid, if greater: For Reissues, see above (Complete (I applicable)) **BUBMITTED BY Name (Print/Type) Joel G. Landau, (Affirmat/Aparti) **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 (Complete (I applicable)) **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500 (Complete (I applicable)) **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 500		<u>"</u>	uar foo fo	nerifu)		or a design apt	AICCLÉUT				
**or number previously paid, if greater: For Ressues, see above (Complete (I applicable)) **BUBMITTED BY Name (Print/Type)											
SUBMITTED BY Name (Prot/Type) Joel G. Landau , Registration No. 54,732 Telephone 805-230-1350	**or number previously paid, if greater: For Resistres, see above										
Name (Print/Type) JOBI G. Latitudu (Attornav/Acenti)			Registration No. 54 732 Telephone 805-230-13)		
Date December 13, 2004	Name (PrintType) Joel G. Landau		(Attorna	v/Acent)	34,1	· V*	Date	December 13			

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, underlying gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments of the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments of the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments of the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments of the USPTO. The will vary depending upon the individual case. A

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.